

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BEFORE THE HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY BOARD

REGULAR MEETING

MARCH 23, 1994

10:00 A.M.

BOARD MEMBERS:

- GERRY IOANNIDES, CHAIRMAN
- DR. E. SCOTT BAIR
- WARREN W. TYLER
- DONALD L. MASON
- DR. NEVILLE G. PINTO

STATE OF OHIO
HAZARDOUS WASTE
FACILITY BOARD

03 APR -4 PM 1:56

RECEIVED

1 CHAIRMAN IOANNIDES: That would be
2 helpful.

3 DR. BAIR: Restate the procedure
4 with the modification.

5 MR. SHAPIRO: Before the Board is a
6 motion from Dr. Pinto, seconded by Mr. Tyler
7 to deny the permit. A yes vote would deny
8 the permit. A no vote, the motion fails and
9 then you would need another motion. So,
10 again, a yes vote denies the permit, a no
11 vote fails the motion.

12 MR. SHAPIRO: Dr. Pinto?

13 MR. PINTO: Yes vote. Can I expand
14 on the reasons for my vote at this point?

15 I think it is evident from my
16 questions earlier that the calculations
17 presented by Mr. Brown concern me with
18 regard to the technical competence of the
19 staff at Southdown. It went beyond just the
20 results of the risk assessment.

21 What I saw was sloppy engineering,
22 sloppy science, and it concerns me when the
23 State is giving the privilege to a company
24 to -- an important privilege such as the

1 handling of hazardous wastes, it is very
2 important that the Board be assured about
3 the technical competence of the staff at
4 that company, and that is the primary reason
5 for me voting yes to not grant a permit to
6 Southdown.

7 MR. SHAPIRO: Dr. Bair.

8 DR. BAIR: I vote no.

9 CHAIRMAN IOANNIDES: Mr. Tyler.

10 MR. TYLER: With comment. I have
11 close and intimate knowledge of the deep
12 capability of the Agency. If there's one
13 comment I would make it is that that
14 capability was not adequately demonstrated
15 in this permit process.

16 I know the management of the Agency
17 and I know that it has very high goals with
18 respect to the standards it applies to its
19 various activities. And I would like to
20 encourage the staff of the Ohio EPA to be
21 more thorough as we evaluate other
22 applications, because the capacity to do so
23 is very much present in the Agency.

24 I support Dr. Pinto's motion.